

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/662,275		HUANG, TIEN-TSAI	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Travis R. Hunnings		2632	

All Participants:

(1) Travis R. Hunnings.

(2) Joseph De Benedictis.

Date of Interview: 10 January 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

claim 14 as written depends on a cancelled claim. examiner suggested the claim depend on current claim 10 and an agreement was reached to do an examiner's amendment along those lines.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DANIEL WU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)